

**From:** [Hashimoto, Janet](#)  
**To:** [Sablad, Elizabeth](#)  
**Cc:** [Roser, Sara](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Regional Monitoring Language in SIWWTP and CCH MS4  
**Start:** Thursday, September 11, 2014 11:30:00 AM  
**End:** Thursday, September 11, 2014 1:00:00 PM  
**Location:** R9-Room-6317-20  
**Attachments:** [RE Regional Monitoring Language in SIWWTP and CCH MS4.msg](#)

---

-----  
From: Lum, Darryl C <darryl.lum@doh.hawaii.gov <mailto:darryl.lum@doh.hawaii.gov> >  
Sent: Friday, September 05, 2014 3:45 PM  
To: Sablad, Elizabeth; Hashimoto, Janet  
Cc: alec.wong@doh.hawaii.gov <mailto:alec.wong@doh.hawaii.gov> ; watson.okubo@doh.hawaii.gov <mailto:watson.okubo@doh.hawaii.gov> ; Nunnally, Allison; Tubal, Randee; Poentis, Kris T; Migita, Reef A  
Subject: RE: Regional Monitoring Language in SIWWTP and CCH MS4

Thanks Elizabeth and Janet!

Let's have the call on 9/11/14 (Thursday) 11:30 am CA time (8:30 am HI time). We have the CWB conference room reserved (phone number 808-586-4087). Do you want to call us or is there a telephone number we should call you at?

P.S. Unfortunately Watson has a time conflict. We'll update him after the call.

Thanks,

Darryl

Darryl Lum

Clean Water Branch

State of Hawaii Department of Health

Phone: (808) 586-4309

Fax: (808) 586-4352

Notice: This information and attachments are intended only for the use of the individual(s) or entity to which it is addressed, and may contain information that is privileged and/or confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited and may be punishable under state and federal law. If you have received this communication and/or attachments in error, please notify the sender via e-mail immediately and destroy all electronic and paper copies.

From: Sablad, Elizabeth [mailto:Sablad.Elizabeth@epa.gov]  
Sent: Friday, September 5, 2014 11:51 AM  
To: Hashimoto, Janet; Lum, Darryl C  
Cc: Wong, Alec Y; Okubo, Watson T; Nunnally, Allison; Tubal, Randee; Poentis, Kris T; Migita, Reef A  
Subject: RE: Regional Monitoring Language in SIWWTP and CCH MS4

Within these times and Janet's availability, I'm only available on Thursday 9/11/14 from 11:30-1 or after 2pm CA time.

-Elizabeth

Elizabeth Sablad

NPDES Permits Office

U.S. EPA Region 9

75 Hawthorne St. (WTR-5)

San Francisco, CA 94105

(415) 972-3044

sablad.elizabeth@epa.gov <mailto:sablad.elizabeth@epa.gov>

From: Hashimoto, Janet

Sent: Friday, September 05, 2014 2:05 PM

To: Lum, Darryl C; Sablad, Elizabeth

Cc: alec.wong@doh.hawaii.gov <mailto:alec.wong@doh.hawaii.gov>; watson.okubo@doh.hawaii.gov <mailto:watson.okubo@doh.hawaii.gov>; Nunnally, Allison; Randee.Tubal@doh.hawaii.gov <mailto:Randee.Tubal@doh.hawaii.gov>; kris.poentis@doh.hawaii.gov <mailto:kris.poentis@doh.hawaii.gov>; Migita, Reef A

Subject: RE: Regional Monitoring Language in SIWWTP and CCH MS4

Hi Darryl: My availability noted below. Lenora Mau of Navy called me also. I told her to contact CWB (you or Alec) on status of WER provision in your regs. When she contacted me several months ago, I said that I would send their package to HQ for review. Well, it went to Charlie Delos, but he has now retired. I may have to resurrect my old email and have someone else review. Also, it's not straight forward because all our WER guidance is for freshwater. I don't know what adjustments need to be made for saltwater (therefore, my forwarding to HQ for review). We can discuss more when you set up the call. Janet

- 9/10/14 (Wednesday), anytime between 8 am to 11 am HI time. – Janet OK 1-2:30 CA time = 10-11:30 HI time.
- 9/11/14 (Thursday), anytime between 8 am to 11 am HI time. – Janet OK 11:30-1 or after 2 CA time = 8:30-10 or after 11 HI time.
- 9/23/14 (Tuesday), anytime between 8 am to 11 am HI time. – Janet on vacation in NM; returning October 6.
- 9/24/14 (Wednesday), anytime between 8 am to 11 am HI time – Janet on vacation in NM; returning October 6.

Janet Y. Hashimoto

Manager, Standards & TMDL Office

US EPA Region 9

75 Hawthorne Street (WTR-2)

San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

(415) 972-3452

From: Lum, Darryl C [mailto:darryl.lum@doh.hawaii.gov]

Sent: Friday, September 05, 2014 1:31 PM

To: Hashimoto, Janet; Sablad, Elizabeth

Cc: alec.wong@doh.hawaii.gov <mailto:alec.wong@doh.hawaii.gov>; watson.okubo@doh.hawaii.gov <mailto:watson.okubo@doh.hawaii.gov>; Nunnally, Allison; Randee.Tubal@doh.hawaii.gov <mailto:Randee.Tubal@doh.hawaii.gov>; kris.poentis@doh.hawaii.gov <mailto:kris.poentis@doh.hawaii.gov>; Migita, Reef A

Subject: RE: Regional Monitoring Language in SIWWTP and CCH MS4

Hi Janet and Elizabeth,

We would like to request a conference call with you regarding the regional monitoring plan. We want to make sure we are all on the same page. Also, the Navy called us today regarding their site-specific criteria petition for Pearl Harbor. We would like to discuss this also.

Please see below for possible conference call dates. Please let me know what date or time is good for both of you.

- 9/10/14 (Wednesday), anytime between 8 am to 11 am HI time.
- 9/11/14 (Thursday), anytime between 8 am to 11 am HI time.
- 9/23/14 (Tuesday), anytime between 8 am to 11 am HI time.

- 9/24/14 (Wednesday), anytime between 8 am to 11 am HI time.

If none of these dates/times work, I will coordinate on our side and find more possible dates.

Thanks,

Darryl

Darryl Lum

Clean Water Branch

State of Hawaii Department of Health

Phone: (808) 586-4309

Fax: (808) 586-4352

Notice: This information and attachments are intended only for the use of the individual(s) or entity to which it is addressed, and may contain information that is privileged and/or confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited and may be punishable under state and federal law. If you have received this communication and/or attachments in error, please notify the sender via e-mail immediately and destroy all electronic and paper copies.

From: Hashimoto, Janet [mailto:Hashimoto.Janet@epa.gov]  
Sent: Monday, August 11, 2014 9:57 AM  
To: Lum, Darryl C  
Cc: Wong, Alec Y; Roser, Sara  
Subject: RE: Regional Monitoring Language in SIWWTP and CCH MS4

Hi Darryl: Finally had a chance to focus on this. Had to get out a few TMDL and 303(d) list approvals. Been busy because it's getting close to the end of our FY, so things are coming in.

The older language in cyan sounds like the one we developed several years ago, back in my 301(h) days. It was intended to capture the spirit of regional monitoring, before we had the specifics worked out. I think it's generic, but could continue to work. However, I can see having more specifics might be good.

In your revisions in yellow, you are trying to get more prescriptive in asking for a lot of specifics from the discharger. One problem with this is that if this is intended to be in each separate NPDES permit, you are asking each one to come up with different monitoring programs. The idea behind regional monitoring is that each permittee is a participant in the overall monitoring design and program that works for everyone and is designed for a waterbody. I'm not sure that you want different information for a-g from each permittee. One overall plan for each unique waterbody (or population of interest) should be developed by the expected permittees/participants to regional monitoring.

Did you have your discussion with CCH yet? If you did and they seemed to be interested and willing, then maybe we should just try to work with them to come up with a suggested regional plan and design. EPA is willing to assist, and we could use the national coastal monitoring survey (and Hawaii's probabilistic survey) as the basis for the re-design. We want to design something where we can fit the multiple purposes of our other monitoring programs in a cooperative partnership to serve all of our monitoring needs. We may want to lower our regulatory hammer for this effort. Would be interested in hearing CCH's thoughts if you had discussion with them. Let me know.

We can discuss further. Also, since CCH is a member of NACWA, we may be able to foster cooperation by having other southern CA NACWA members that have been doing regional monitoring talk with CCH. Perhaps hearing successes from other POTWs would help get this going again in Hawaii.

Janet

Janet Y. Hashimoto  
Manager, Standards & TMDL Office  
US EPA Region 9  
75 Hawthorne Street (WTR-2)  
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901  
(415) 972-3452

From: Lum, Darryl C [mailto:darryl.lum@doh.hawaii.gov]  
Sent: Friday, August 01, 2014 2:20 PM  
To: Hashimoto, Janet  
Cc: alec.wong@doh.hawaii.gov <mailto:alec.wong@doh.hawaii.gov>  
Subject: RE: Regional Monitoring Language in SIWWTP and CCH MS4

Hi Janet,

Below is a rough draft of the proposed regional monitoring language for the Sand Island WWTP permit. We were thinking of changing the regional monitoring so that the data collection can be used for future integrated report data, listing/delisting, future 11-54 revisions, permit assimilative capacity assessments, identification of pollutant sources, etc. Our thinking was that if this works in Mamala Bay, we can require this in all major NPDES permits for all waterbodies.

Please let me know if you think this is ok or if we should stick with the existing language. (Existing regional monitoring language is below in cyan highlight.)

Thanks,  
Darryl

Proposed Language for Sand Island WWTP In Special Conditions Section (Language Needs to be Modified for CCH MS4 Permit)

#### Regional Monitoring Activities Coordination and Implementation

The intent of regional monitoring activities is to assess whether the entire receiving water body meets Water Quality Standards and to determine any sources that may be causing or contributing to a non-compliance with Water Quality Standards. Regional monitoring activities are meant to maximize the efforts of all monitoring partners using a more cost-effective monitoring design and to best utilize the pooled scientific resources of the region. During these coordinated sampling efforts, the Permittee's receiving water sampling and analytical effort will be reallocated to provide a regional assessment of the impact of the discharge. Anticipated modifications to the monitoring program will be coordinated so as to provide a more comprehensive picture of the ecological and statistical significance of monitoring results and to determine cumulative impacts of various pollution sources. The Permittee is required to:

- a. Identify the designated uses and existing uses of the receiving water.
- b. Identify the issue(s) or condition(s) that will help them to determine if the water quality required to meet the designated uses of the receiving water are being attained and the sources that may be contributing to a lack of attainment.
- c. Specify the parameters that will be measured, detection limits, action levels, instruments/measuring devices, references, calibration procedures, precision, and accuracy.
- d. Identify the spatial and temporal boundaries of the study. The decision unit(s) shall include the entire water column throughout the entire receiving State water.
- e. State the decision rules that incorporate the parameters of interest, the decision units, and the action levels. At a minimum, decision units are required at all conceivable inputs into the receiving water.
- f. Specify the performance or acceptance criteria for all parameters being measured.
- g. Develop the plan for obtaining data.
- h. Identify all organizations, stakeholders, and interested parties that will participate in this study. All Major NPDES Permit holders discharging into the receiving waters shall be asked to participate.

- i. Coordinate, organize, and facilitate the implementation of the regional monitoring activities with all Major NPDES Permit holders that are discharging into their receiving waters, EPA, DOH, and other participating government agencies and private entities.
- j. Develop and submit to DOH the detailed plan for regional monitoring in the receiving waters within 2 years from the issuance date of this permit. The final plan must be acceptable to EPA and DOH prior to its implementation. If an acceptable plan is not submitted within 2 years from the issuance date of this permit, DOH will provide the plan that the Permittee must implement. DOH will provide this plan to the Permittee within 2.5 years from the issuance date of this permit.
- k. Initiate implementation of the EPA/DOH accepted regional monitoring activities plan within 2.75 years from the issuance date of this permit. Regional monitoring activities and data collection must be performed for at least 1 year to account for seasonal variation.
- l. Complete and submit a final regional monitoring activities report detailing all of the requirements, findings, and conclusions to the DOH within 4.5 years from the issuance date of this permit.

All components of the regional monitoring activities are subject to DOH and EPA acceptance.

#### Previous Regional Monitoring Language in Current Sand Island and Fort Kam Permits

As directed by DOH, the Permittee shall participate in regional monitoring activities conducted in the Mamala Bay during the term of this permit. The intent of regional monitoring activities is to maximize the efforts of all monitoring partners using a cost-effective monitoring design and to best utilize the pooled scientific resources of the region. The detailed plan for regional monitoring in Mamala Bay shall be designed by the regional dischargers, in conjunction with the EPA, Department, City and County of Honolulu, and as much as possible, other participating government agencies and private entities. The final monitoring plan must be approved by DOH prior to its implementation.

During these coordinated monitoring efforts, the Permittee's sampling and analytical effort as required under Part C.2 and Part C.3 of this permit, may be reallocated or modified to provide a regional characterization of the water quality within Mamala Bay and evaluate the impact of wastewater discharges to the Mamala Bay. Anticipated modifications to the monitoring program will be coordinated so as to provide a comprehensive picture of the ecological and statistical significance of monitoring results and to determine cumulative impacts of various pollutant sources. If predictable relationships among the biological, water quality and effluent monitoring variables can be demonstrated, it may be appropriate to decrease the Permittee's monitoring effort. Conversely, the monitoring program may be intensified if determined necessary to fully characterize the receiving water and evaluate the impacts of wastewater on the receiving water. Changes made under this section will improve the overall effectiveness of monitoring in the Mamala Bay. Accordingly, minor changes may be made without further public notice.